

H6622, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Don Arbuckle, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3228 New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dates: March 16, 1987.

Edward Michals,

Departmental Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 87-7701 Filed 4-7-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

Agency Forms Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposals for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census

Title: Auxiliary Establishment Report Form Number: Agency—ES-9200;

OMB—NA

Type of Request: New collection
Burden: 40,000 respondents; 20,000 reporting hours

Needs and Uses: This survey is part of the 1987 Economic Censuses. Form ES-9200 will be used to collect census data items on auxiliary units of multiestablishment enterprises within the scope of the economic censuses. These auxiliary establishments are primarily engaged in general business administration; management; research, development and testing; warehousing; and other supporting services.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit institutions

Frequency: One time

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory
OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle, 395-7340

Agency: Bureau of the Census

Title: 1987/1988 Census of Horticultural Specialties Pretests

Form Number: Agency—BC86-A19.01, BC86-A19.02, BC86-A19.03; OMB—NA

Type of Request: New collection
Burden: 2,500 respondents; 1,250 reporting hours

Needs and Uses: These pretests will provide a means of measuring the effectiveness of the report form consent to obtain the requested information, and will allow for testing the various form designs of the report forms.

Affected Public: Farms

Frequency: One time

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory
OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle, 395-7340

Copies of the above information collection proposals can be obtained by calling or writing DOC Clearance Officer, Edward Michals, (202) 377-3271, Department of Commerce, Room H6622, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent to Don Arbuckle, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3228 New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: March 16, 1987.

Edward Michals,

Departmental Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 87-7702 Filed 4-7-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

Bureau of the Census

Census Advisory Committee (CAC); Public Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended by Pub. L. 94-409), we are giving notice of a joint meeting followed by separate and jointly held (described below) meetings of the CAC on the American Indian and Alaska Native Populations for the 1990 Census, the CAC on the Asian and Pacific Islander Populations for the 1990 Census, the CAC on the Black Population for the 1990 Census, and the CAC on the Hispanic Population for the 1990 Census. The joint meeting will convene on April 23 and 24, 1987, at the Ramada Hotel, 6400 Oxon Hill Road, Oxon Hill, Maryland 20745.

Each of these committees is composed of 12 members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce. They provide an organized and continuing channel of communications between the communities they represent and the Bureau of the Census on the problems and opportunities of the 1990 decennial census.

The committees will draw on the knowledge and insight of their members to provide advice during the planning of the 1990 Census of Population and Housing on such elements as improving the accuracy of the population count, suggesting areas of research, recommending subject content and tabulations of particular use to the populations they represent, expanding the dissemination of census results among present and potential users of census data in their communities, and generally improving the usefulness of the census product.

The agenda for the April 23 combined meeting that will begin at 8:45 a.m. and end at 2:00 p.m. is: (1) Introductory remarks by the Director, Bureau of the Census; (2) 1990 planning update; (3) 1990 census budget; (4) race and Hispanic items; and (5) publicity and outreach activities, including (a) language assistance, and (b) community action guide.

The agendas for the four committees in their separate and jointly held meetings that will begin at 2:00 p.m. and end at 5:15 p.m. on April 23 are:

The CAC on the American Indian and Alaska Native Populations for the 1990 Census: (1) Bureau of Indian Affairs identification of tribal lands, (2) Alaska enumeration plans, (3) language assistance, (4) community action guide, (5) publicity and outreach activities, and (6) consideration of Census Bureau responses to recommendations made at the last meeting.

The CAC on the Asian and Pacific Islander Populations for the 1990 Census: (1) publicity and outreach activities, (2) language assistance, (3) community action guide, and (4) consideration of Census Bureau responses to recommendations made at the last meeting.

The CAC on the Black Population for the 1990 Census: (1) Publicity and outreach activities, (2) language assistance, (3) community action guide, and (4) consideration of Census Bureau responses to recommendations made at the last meeting.

The CAC on the Hispanic Population for the 1990 Census: (1) Publicity and outreach activities, (2) language assistance, (3) community action guide, and (4) consideration of Census Bureau responses to recommendations made at the last meeting.

The agenda for the April 24 combined meeting that will begin at 8:45 a.m. and end at 10:15 a.m. will be 1990 census community awareness plans.

In their separate meetings that will begin at 10:30 a.m. and end at 12:00 noon the American Indian and Alaska Native Populations Committee for the 1990 census will discuss (1) 1990 census community awareness plans, and (2) the American Indian Office. The Asian and Pacific Islanders, Black, and Hispanic Committees will discuss 1990 Census community awareness plans. In separate meetings from 1:15 p.m. to 2:45 p.m. the four Committees will develop and discuss recommendations to the Census Bureau.

The agenda for the combined meeting that will begin at 3 p.m. and adjourn at 4 p.m. is: (1) comments by outside observers, (2) presentation of

recommendations, and (3) plans for the next meeting.

All meetings are open to the public, and a brief period is set aside on April 24 for public comment and questions. Those persons with extensive questions or statements must submit them in writing to the Census Bureau official named below at least 3 days before the meeting.

Persons wishing additional information concerning these meetings or who wish to submit written statements may contact Mr. Russell L. Valentine, Assistant Chief for Outreach and Program Information, Decennial Planning Division, Bureau of the Census, Room 3779, Federal Building 3, Suitland, Maryland. (Mailing address: Washington, DC 20233). Telephone (301) 763-5169.

Dated: April 2, 1987.

John G. Keane,

Director, Bureau of the Census.

[FR Doc. 87-17753 Filed 4-7-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

International Trade Administration

[A-475-601]

Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Brass Sheet and Strip From Italy and Amendment to Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As a result of correction of a clerical error, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending its final determination in this investigation and its antidumping duty order, and is directing the U.S. Customs Service to adjust the cash deposit as follows:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	From (per-cent)	To (per-cent)
La Metall Industrie, SpA.....	12.08	9.74
All others.....	12.08	9.74

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles E. Wilson (202-377-5288), Office of Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 9, 1987, we published a final determination of sales at less than fair

value on brass sheet and strip from Italy (52 FR 816). On February 19, 1987, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)), the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department that imports of this merchandise are materially injuring a United States industry. After being notified of these findings, the Department published an antidumping duty order on March 6, 1987 (52 FR 6997).

The detection of a clerical error by counsel for respondent has caused us to review our calculations in this investigation. We have discovered and have corrected the clerical error found in the calculations. Consequently, we are amending our final determination by changing the weighted-average margins.

We are also amending our antidumping duty order to reflect these weighted-average margins. This amendment is effective as of the date of publication of this notice. The new estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins are as follows.

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weighted-Average Margin (per-cent)
La Metall Industrie, SpA.....	9.74
All others.....	9.74

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

April 3, 1987.

[FR Doc. 87-7804 Filed 4-7-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-428-037]

Drycleaning Machinery From West Germany; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 20, 1987, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on drycleaning machinery from West Germany. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States and the period November 1, 1982 through October 31, 1984.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the

preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and correction of clerical errors, we have changed the margins from those presented in the preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Arthur N. DuBois or Robert J. Marenick, Office of Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 377-5289/5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 20, 1987, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the *Federal Register* (52 FR 2124) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on drycleaning machinery from West Germany (37 FR 23715, November 2, 1972). We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Tariff Act").

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of drycleaning machinery, currently classifiable under item 670.4100 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated.

The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States and the period November 1, 1982 through October 31, 1984.

Analysis of Comments Received

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received written comments from Boewe Reinigungstechnik GmbH, the respondent.

Comment 1: Boewe contends that through apparent clerical error, the foreign market value of certain machines was calculated using list price rather than actual prices during the review period November 1, 1982 through October 31, 1983.

Department's position: We agree and have corrected the error.

Comment 2: Boewe contends that we improperly compared a U.S. sale of a certain model that had no filter to the foreign market value based on constructed value of the same model machine with a filter. In its comment Boewe provided constructed value figures for that model without a filter and argues that we should use those figures for comparison purposes.

Department's position: We agree and have changed our calculations accordingly.

Comment 3: Boewe contends that the Department improperly added, rather than subtracted, the packing adjustment in its calculation of foreign market value. Furthermore, the full amount of export packing should be subtracted from the foreign market value because U.S. prices were reported without packing included in the price.

Department's position: We disagree. The cost of packing for export to the United States is included in both the U.S. price and the foreign market value when calculating dumping margins. Boewe's home market prices included home market packing. We, therefore, subtracted home market packing from the foreign market value and added U.S. export packing in accordance with section 773(a) of the Act.

The U.S. selling prices Boewe reported included export packing. In addition Permac reported additional packing performed in the United States. We further adjusted the foreign market value to reflect this additional packing.

Comment 4: Boewe contends that for one U.S. sale in the review period November 1, 1982 through October 31, 1983 we incorrectly calculated the foreign market value of a particular partially completed drycleaning machine. The machine in question was sold without a still or a condensor, in effect rendering it a half machine. Boewe requests that we make appropriate adjustments in calculating the foreign market value for this machine.

Department's position: We agree and have changed our calculations accordingly.

Comment 5: Boewe contends, as in previous reviews, that the Department should make adjustments to the foreign market value for differences in the levels of trade involved in comparisons of home market and U.S. sales. In its home market Boewe sold directly to end-users, whereas in the United States its subsidiary, Permac, sold through distributors.

Department's position: Our position remains unchanged from the previous reviews. In terms of function in the marketplace, Permac's distributor customers and Boewe's agents in their home market are at a comparable level of trade. The Department has compared Permac's sales to distributors with Boewe's sales in West Germany through independent agents to end-users, in accordance with section 353.19 of the Commerce Regulations. When there were no contemporaneous home market sales through agents, we compared sales to distributors in the United States with direct sales to end-users in the home market, with no adjustment for

differences in level of trade because the differences were not adequately quantified.

Comment 6: Boewe continues to maintain that trade-in losses should be treated as discounts on its home market sales of new machinery or, in the alternative, that such losses be treated as direct selling expenses and allowed as an adjustment to foreign market value on that basis.

Department's position: Our position remains unchanged from the previous reviews. We do not consider profits and losses on the sales of any machines to be selling expenses, direct or indirect. Nor do we consider the amount deducted from the price of a new machine for a trade-in to be a discount. It is still our policy that the amount of that credit is a measure to Boewe of the value of the used machine. Therefore, we have not made any adjustment.

Comment 7: Boewe continues to maintain that warranty expenses incurred by Boewe, even if outside the narrow warranty period, are proper adjustments and requests that its claimed warranty expenses be allowed, in full, as direct selling expenses.

Department's position: The claimed warranty expenses were actually repair work performed on the machines after the guarantee periods had expired. As neither the customer nor Boewe anticipated or bargained for the work at the time of the sale, they are not true warranty expenses but rather goodwill. We do not allow such expenses as circumstance-of-sale adjustments.

Comment 8: Boewe continues to maintain that the amounts reported for general and administrative expenses, research and development, advertising and other items are properly included in the calculation of indirect selling expenses in the home market for use as offsets, where appropriate. Boewe also maintains that sales related general and administrative expenses, management expenses, and traffic department expenses are directly related selling expenses and should be treated as such.

Department's position: As in the last reviews we disallowed all of these claims as selling expenses because: (1) They were not properly allocated to home market sales; or (2) Boewe failed to specifically relate them to the sales function.

Final Results of Review

As a result of the comments received, we have revised our preliminary results for Boewe and we determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period November 1, 1982 through October 31, 1984:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (per-cent)
Boewe Reinigungstechnik GmbH	11/1/82-10/31/83	5.55
	11/1/83-10/31/84	0.84

The Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

The above margins do not change the current rates for cash deposits of antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and § 353.53a of the Commerce Regulations (19 CFR 353.53a).

Dated: April 1, 1987.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 87-7813 Filed 4-7-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[C-357-001]

Leather Wearing Apparel From Argentina; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has conducted an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on leather wearing apparel from Argentina. The review covers the period July 1, 1983 through December 31, 1984 and three programs.

As a result of the review, the Department has preliminarily determined the total bounty or grant to be zero for the period of review. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sylvia Chadwick or Bernard Carreau, Office of Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 377-2786.